

Proposal Title :	Reclassification of operational	20-28 Culw	orth Avenue and 17 Maria	nn Street, Killara fro	om community to
Proposal Summa			to reclassify Council own community to operational		lworth Avenue and
PP Number :	PP_2013_KURIN_0	02_00	Dop File No :	13/12316	
oposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Receive	11-Jul-2013 d :		LGA covered :	Ku-Ring-Gai	
Region :	Sydney Region West	t	RPA :	Ku-ring-gai	Council
State Electorate :			Section of the Act :	55 - Plannin	g Proposal
LEP Type :	Reclassification				
ocation Details					
Street :	20-28 Culworth Avenue				
Suburb :	Killara	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2071
Land Parcel :	Lots 1-3 DP 119937, Lot 1	DP169841	, Lot 6 Sec1 DP3694, Lot 1	I DP359800 and Lo	t 2 DP932235
Street :	17 Marian Street				
Suburb :	Killara	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2071
Land Parcel :					
DoP Planning C	Officer Contact Details	5			
Contact Name :	Lillian Charlesworth				
Contact Number :	0298601101				
Contact Email :	Lillian.Charlesworth(@planning.	nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact D	etails				
Contact Name :	Craige Wyse				
Contact Number :					
Contact Email :	cwyse@kmc.nsw.go	v.au			
DoP Project Ma	nager Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Terry Doran				
Contact Number :	-				
Contact Email :	Terry.Doran@plannir		211		

Reclassification of 20-28 Culworth Avenue and 17 Marian Street, Killara from community to operational

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The regional team is not awa concerning this Planning Pre	re of any meetings or communic oposal.	ation with registered lobbyists
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
lequacy Assessment Statement of the obj Is a statement of the obj Comment :	ectives - s55(2)(a) ectives provided? Yes	ns to reclassify 20-28 Culworth A nd to operational land.	venue and 17 Marian Street,
Explanation of provi	sions provided - s55(2)(I)	
Is an explanation of prov	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	10 - classification and rec Draft Ku-ring-gai Local En	II amend the Ku-ring-gai Planning lassification of public land as wel vironmental Plan 2013 (KLEP201 then this Planning Proposal will in	ll as the amendment summary. If 3) is made prior to this planning
	trusts, estates, interests, o	tion process, it is intended that th dedications, conditions, restriction cate that there are no easements to be extinguished.	ons or covenants affecting the

Reclassification of 20-28 Culworth Avenue and 17 Marian Street, Killara from community to operational

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones	
 * May need the Director General's agreement * May need the Director General's agreement 2.3 Heritage Conservation 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 	
Is the Director General's agreement required? No	
c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 19—Bushland in Urban Areas SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009))
e) List any other There are no inconsistencies with any SEPPs, deemed SEPPs or s117 Directions. matters that need to be considered : be considered : As the proposal is within a Heritage Conservation Area, consultation with the Office of Environment and Heritage is recommended.	
Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A	
If No, explain :	
Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided? Yes	
Comment : Figures 1 and 2 in the Planning Proposal are not clearly legible and should be amended prior to public exhibition.	
Community consultation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes	
Comment : The Planning Proposal will be advertised in the main local newspaper. All documentation will be available at the Council Administration Centre and on Council's website. Notice will be sent to adjoining and affected land owners and a public hearing will be held.	
Additional Director General's requirements	
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No	
If Yes, reasons :	

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : December 2013

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Ku-ring-gai Council has exhibited draft Ku-ring-gai Local Environmental Plan 2013 (KLEP 2013) to replace the Ku-ring-gai Planning Scheme Ordinance. Draft KLEP 2013 proposes to rezone the subject land part R4 - High Density Residential and part B1 - Neighbourhood Centre. Should Draft KLEP2013 be made prior to the finalisation of this planning proposal, it is intended that this Planning Proposal would become an amendment to KLEP2013.
	is interfaced that this i tanning i toposal would become an amenument to REEP2013.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The site is not used for the pur and is no longer required for th additional commuter car parkir public car parking on site will a	nis purpose. Transport NSW i ng at Gordon and Lindfield so	s in the process of providing
	Reclassification of the subject provide funds for the purchase Highway, Gordon and related p	and development of Council	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal, in conju High Density Residential and p developed for residential and b public transport. This is consis	art B1 Neighbourhood Centre usiness development in an a	e) will allow the site to be rea highly accessible via
	The Planning Proposal is consi proposed reclassification will e community needs and standard	nable Council's assets to be	managed effectively to meet
Environmental social economic impacts :	A social benefit will arise from funds to be utilised for purpose that it will facilitate the orderly acquired 828 Pacific Highway, (on the basis that it would be fu	es identified by Council. An e and economic provision of C Gordon for the purposes of a	conomic benefit will arise in ouncil facilities. Council new administration building
Assessment Proces	s		
Proposal type :	Consistent	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and Heri Transport for NSW Transport for NSW - RailCorp Transport for NSW - Roads and	-	

Reclassification of 20-28 Culworth Avenue and 17 Marian Street, Killara from community to operational

operational				
Is Public Hearing by the I	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b)) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional stu	idies, if required. :			
If Other, provide reasons	:			
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required	:		
No internal consultation	required			
Is the provision and fundi	ng of state infrastruc	ture relevant to this plan? Y	es	
If Yes, reasons :	Part of Council's May 2013, the Mi	justification for reclassifica hister for Transport annour hitional spaces at Lindfield	n and is currently used as ation of the site for possibl need that the NSW Governr and more than 160 extra s	e disposal is that in nent will build a car
Documents				
Document File Name		Doc	cumentType Name	Is Public
cover letter.pdf Planning Proposal.pdf			posal Covering Letter posal	Yes Yes
Planning Team Recomn	nendation			
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supporte	d at this stage : Recommer	nded with Conditions	
S.117 directions:	4.1 Acid Sulfate S 4.3 Flood Prone L 4.4 Planning for E 6.1 Approval and 6.2 Reserving Lar 6.3 Site Specific F	ervation ones nd Use and Transport oils and Sushfire Protection Referral Requirements ad for Public Purposes	for Sydney 2036	
Additional Information :	It is recommende conditions:	d that the Planning Propos	al proceed subject to the f	ollowing
	Figures 1 and 2 a 2. The Planning P 3. Consultation w period: - Transport for N - Transport for N - Roads and Mari	re clearly legible; roposal is exhibited for 28 ith the following State Age SW SW (Railcorp)	pposal is to be amended to days; ncies take place during the	

	 4. The Planning Proposal should be completed in 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination; and 5. Delegation is to be given to Council to exercise the Minister's Plan making powers.
Supporting Reasons :	The Planning Proposal is supported as it will allow for the orderly and economic development of land given that the site is no longer required for its original or current use.
	Council has indicated that it does not intend to use its delegations in case interests in the land are identified during the public exhibition process which would require the Governor's approval to extinguish. It is considered that Council should be given delegations in relation to this Planning Proposal as Council may forward the Plan to the
	Department to be made should the need arise.
Signature:	